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1. Introduction

A large body of empirical literature documents the rise in returns to education occurring during early pro-market reforms in post-Soviet economies. However, there is a dearth of descriptive evidence on late-transition pre-EU-accession returns to education. This paper fills the gap for the Czech Republic by estimating private wage returns to various education levels using a large matched employer-employee data set covering salaried employment in the enterprise sector in 2002.

One dimension of the analysis is given particular attention: namely the quantification of the Czech college/high school wage gap. The size of the gap is important for the recent local policy debate on the limited supply of (and excess demand for) college education in the Czech Republic. The country has one of the highest secondary school completion rates in the OECD, but one of the lowest shares of college graduates in the labour force (OECD, 1997). We therefore ask whether the limited supply of college education leads to unusually high returns to having graduated from college.

We provide separate estimates not only for men and women, but also for different age groups. A separate focus on young employees is motivated by the potentially low substitutability of workers with a given level of education across age groups. (Recall that older Czech workers graduated from communist schools.) Furthermore, public-college enrolment in the Czech Republic increased by approximately doubled during the first transition decade, leading to an increase in the relative supply of college graduates among the labour market inflow. Such higher relative supply may lower the relative value of college degrees on the labour market. Yet, public colleges remain highly oversubscribed.1

One interpretation of this fact is that the demand for education is “too” high because public colleges are tuition free. An alternative explanation is

---

1 Every year, only about half of all applicants to Czech Republic colleges manage to get enrolled (UIV, 1998).
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---
that the market reward for college degrees is very high. A quantification of the market value of a recent college degree, relative to a high school diploma, is therefore important for shedding light on the binding nature of the limited supply of college education in the Czech Republic.2

It is important to stress from the start that because we rely on employee data, the estimated returns to education are quite descriptive in their nature. We do not control for sample selection into work for women or for the selection of both men and women into enterprise-sector salaried employment as opposed to public-sector employment or self-employment (entrepreneurship).

The paper is organised as follows. The next section provides a brief discussion of the existing empirical literature on returns to education in transition economies. It also includes some notes on the Czech educational system. In section 3 we describe the data set, while section 4 reviews the results.

2. Background

The wage rewards of schooling – “returns to education” – are a central concern to both labour economics and econometrics.3 The topic continues to generate voluminous empirical literature, recently evaluated by Heckman et al. (2003). It is therefore not surprising that the wage rewards of education received much attention in post-Soviet economies, where they are crucially tied to growth potential.

Pay differentiation was strictly regimented under central planning, as wages were set according to industry-specific wage grids varying only with the difficulty and “social importance” of the job and with the worker’s education and experience (Münich et al., 2005). Since returns to education provide a direct incentive for investment in human capital, it was desirable that pro-market reforms allow for an increase in the returns. Indeed, wage regulations were quickly abolished at the start of the 1990s and wage dispersion rose rapidly. A wealth of studies summarised by Svejnar (1999) document this increase in wage differentiation and suggest that it was in part due to a swift increase in the benefits of education.4

The Czech Republic was no exception in this regard. Three studies which investigate changes in the returns to education between the communist and

---

2 See e.g., (Card – Lemieux, 2000) for work stressing imperfect substitutability of workers across age groups and changing relative supply of college education across cohorts. Ideally, one would capture time and age effects together with cohort-specific effects. However, this approach is not feasible so far given the few available years of post-communist history.

3 Many advances in applied microeconometrics have occurred within the “returns” literature. Originally, the literature addressed two major issues: measurement error and ability bias. Currently, there are two competing strategies of estimating returns to education. The first is based on quasi experiments – e.g., (Card, 2001). The second estimates more structural models of individual choice, which explicitly allow for human capital heterogeneity and variation in returns across individuals – e.g., (Heckman – Vytlacil, 1998). While the first approach is statistically more robust, the second may be closer to estimating policy-relevant (treatment) effects.

4 The literature on early-transition returns to education includes (Krueger – Pischke, 1995) for East Germany, (Rutkowski, 1996) for Poland, (Orazem – Vodopivec, 1997) for Slovenia, or (Lubyová – Sabirianova, 2001) for Slovakia and Russia.
post-communist eras in the Czech Republic report significant increases in the returns. Chase (1997) finds that among men the added income for each year of education approximately doubled between 1984 and 1993 while Flanagan (1995) reports somewhat smaller increases from 3.4 % for men in 1988 to 4.4 % in 1993. Finally, Münich et al. (2005) estimate that male returns to a year of schooling increased from 2.7 % in 1989 to 5.8 % by the end of 1996. Skill-related wage differentials kept rising even in the mid-to-late 1990s, albeit at a slower pace (Filer et al., 1999). By 1997, male employee wages increased by up to 9 % for each year of additional schooling.

There is also evidence comparing returns to specific education degrees across the main sectors of the economy. Using 1998 data and focusing on a different issue, Jurajda (2003) reports a 10 percentage point higher college/high school wage gap in the enterprise sector than in the public sector, comprising education, health and public administration.\(^5\)

In this paper, we extend the existing evidence by covering the situation after the end of the first transition decade and the two years before EU entry. As argued in the introductory section, the analysis is important for the ongoing debate about the reform of tuition-free, oversubscribed and under-funded tertiary education in the Czech Republic (World Bank, 2001). Although the structure of the Czech educational system parallels those of other European countries, there is a significant difference in the educational structure of the labour force: while the secondary school completion rate is very high, only a small proportion of Czech workers have completed college.\(^6\) This fact is not surprising given that a major group of secondary-level students attends apprenticeship programmes, which offer only dismal prospects of continuing on to higher education degrees.

Given these imbalances, the focus of the present study is on the returns to specific education degrees. While Czech elementary (compulsory) and tertiary education is quite similar to that found in other countries, Czech secondary education deserves some explanation. Overall, there are three types of secondary schools in the Czech Republic: vocational, specialised and academic (“gymnasium” in Czech). At the end of all academic secondary schools, most specialised schools and some vocational programmes, students pass school-leaving examinations (“maturita” in Czech). These exams approximately correspond to the UK General Certificate of Education (GCE) or the German “Abitur” exam. All graduates who pass these comprehensive exams may continue on to colleges, but about two-thirds of those who do so typically come from gymnasiums. Colleges are publicly funded and typically involve a single-field, four to five-year track of study.\(^7\)

\(^5\) Put differently, the relative difference between the wages of high school graduates with a comprehensive school-leaving examination and the wages of workers with only an elementary education was higher in the enterprise sector than in the public sector. The relative wages of all other education groups, in comparison to the wages of elementary education workers, were similar across the two sectors of the economy.

\(^6\) According to OECD (1997), by 1995 about 88 % of the Czech labour force aged 25 to 64 had completed at least higher secondary school. Only one OECD country had a greater rate of high school completion (the USA, at 89 %), while the average across OECD countries was only 65 %. On the other hand, only 12 % of the population aged 25 to 64 had a university degree in 1995, compared to an OECD average of 23 %. Among OECD countries, only Turkey (8 %), Italy (8 %) and Austria (9 %) had a lower rate of university completion among the working-age population.
The preferred categorisation of the different education degrees used in
the subsequent analysis consists of four groups: (i) elementary education,
(ii) apprenticeship without GCE, (iii) all types of secondary education with
GCE combined, and (iv) college degrees and higher. One may want to fur-
ther differentiate types of GCE-awarding secondary schools; these results
are available upon request.

3. Data

In the Czech Republic, there are two major worker-level data sets with
wage information. First, self-reported wage information is available in
there is a quarterly national employer survey, called the Information Sys-

8 This grouping roughly corresponds to the OECD classification of education levels – the ISCED
groups. Category (i) essentially consists of compulsory education and spans ISCED levels 1
and 2. Category (ii) corresponds to ISCED 2 and a small group of workers with ISCED 3C. Ca-
tegory (iii) is identical with ISCED 3A. Finally, the highest category (iv) covers ISCED levels 5
and 6.

9 Unfortunately, the Czech Labour Force Survey does not ask about wages.

10 This data has been used in analyses of earnings differentiation by Večerník (2001).

11 The survey is collected by a private agency on behalf of the Czech Ministry of Labour and So-
cial Affairs.

12 The sample is not perfectly representative of the population of firms. Thus, to recover popu-
lation statistics as closely as possible, weights reflecting the sampling procedure were calcu-
lated by dividing the population frequency of firms within strata cells by the corresponding sam-
ple frequency. The population distribution is based on an end-of-year firm register, which is
compiled by the Czech Statistical Office and which is used as a sampling frame for the survey.
The re-weighted data form the basis for the analysis.

13 Wage records of top management are excluded from the data.
sonnel databases using software developed by the data collection agency. Having available a measure of hourly wage rates is ideal for the purpose of estimating returns to education because of the potential differences in hours worked across levels of education. Furthermore, the definition of hourly wage is detailed and fully consistent across firms.\textsuperscript{14}

The uniformity of the wage definition and the use of personnel records minimises the extent of reporting errors likely to be present in household survey data. As with most other data from transition economies, education is reported in ISAE as the highest degree obtained rather than as years of schooling actually attended. Unfortunately, education is missing for 8\% of workers and this part of the data is therefore excluded from the analysis. Table 1 contains selected summary statistics and sample-size indicators for the analysis-ready data. There are over 800,000 worker wage records available, from over 2,000 firms.

4. Results

4.1 Education Structure of Employment and Relative Wages

The structure of enrolment by school type and level has changed significantly since the breakdown of the communist regime; in particular, enrolment in tertiary education has increased approximately doubled. A simple view of the extent to which this recent development has affected the educational structure of the employee workforce is presented in the top two panels of Table 2. The relative supply of education, which one would expect to be linked to the relative wage rewards of education, is presented for each gender and age group separately; this is motivated by the concern that workers with similar education but different age (experience) are not close substitutes.

Several facts stand out in Table 2:\textsuperscript{15} (i) Czech employees over 45 have a lower overall level of education; (ii) the educational structure of enter-

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
Variable & Women & Men \\
\hline
Hourly wage (CZK) & 74.6 & 102.4 \\
Age & 40.98 & 41.2 \\
Firm employment & 2 325 & 1 599 \\
Number of workers & 321 641 & 484 126 \\
Number of firms & 2 223 & 2 196 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Weighted Data Means}
\end{table}

\textit{Source:} Own calculations based on the Trexima ISAE data

\textsuperscript{14} Each quarter, employers in the Czech Republic are legally required to calculate for each worker an average hourly wage, defined as total cash compensation including bonuses and other special payments divided by total hours worked for that quarter. This average wage is then used for calculating sickness and unemployment benefits.

\textsuperscript{15} Note that it is rare for one to attain a college degree before 23 years of age in the Czech Republic, hence the low fraction of college graduates in the youngest age group.
prise employment is stable for workers between 31 and 44 years of age, who are most likely to hold an apprenticeship degree with no GCE; (iii) there has been an overall increase in the level of education for younger female employees; (iv) while the fraction of female employees with at least a college degree has increased for the younger cohorts, young men with tertiary education are relatively less likely to become employees in firms with over 10 workers, which are covered in the ISAE sample. The last finding corroborates earlier evidence from transition economies suggesting that young, well-educated men are most likely to move into the de novo sector consisting of the self-employed and small newly started private firms – see, for example, (Jurajda – Terrell, 2003).

The middle two panels of Table 2 show the relative average wages of wor-

### TABLE 2 Educational Structure of Employment and Wages by Education, Gender and Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men: % Share of Each Education Category on Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women: % Share of Each Education Category on Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men: Average Hourly Wage Relative to Secondary Education with GCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women: Average Hourly Wage Relative to Secondary Education with GCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men: Median Hourly Wage Relative to Secondary Education with GCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women: Median Hourly Wage Relative to Secondary Education with GCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and higher</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own calculations based on the Trexima ISAE data
kers across education levels, conditional only on age and gender. The displayed statistic gives wage levels relative to the average wage of employees with a secondary degree with GCE within each gender and age group. Starting with women in the lower panel, average wages appear similar for apprentices and employees with only primary education – both groups make on average only about 67% of the hourly wage level of workers with a GCE. This gap is surprisingly stable for all age groups above 24. Even for male workers, the benefits of an apprenticeship degree, relative to only primary education, appear small, at about 5 percentage points.

While not having a GCE lowers hourly wages by about 30%, obtaining a college degree leads to wage rates that are nearly two times higher than wages of workers with a secondary education with GCE. The college/high school gap is “only” about 50% for workers aged 24–30, but this is probably driven by differences in experience, as high school graduates have accumulated more productive practice than college graduates of the same age. Finally, it is interesting to note that college/high school wage gaps are remarkably similar across gender.

The bottom two panels of Table 2 present an alternative comparison of relative wages based on medians as opposed to means. This alternative summary statistic is not sensitive to outliers and represents more closely the situation for “typical” workers. As expected, the wage differences based on medians are somewhat smaller, but remain substantial. For example, a college-educated male worker aged 31–37 whose wage is at least as high as that of half of all other similar workers faces hourly wage rates that are 65% higher than those of a typical worker in the same age category with only a GCE.

How does the Czech college/high school unconditional mean wage gap compare to those found in other countries? Brunello et al. (2000) document the size of the college/high school male wage gap in ten European economies in the mid to late 1990s using data on workers who are approximately in the 45–51 age group. Their base group of high-school graduates covers upper secondary education (ISCED 3 and 4) and therefore closely corresponds to the definition of secondary education with GCE used in this paper. Furthermore, their sample of countries covers Austria and West Germany, two neighbours of the Czech Republic with a similarly low supply of tertiary education and a strong tradition of vocational education. Brunello et al. (2000) define the college/high school gap as the log of the ratio of average hourly wages and find that this unconditional wage gap varies from a low of 0.28 in Italy to a high of 0.57 in Portugal. It equals 0.41 in West Germany and 0.37 in Austria.

Applying the same scale and focusing on the comparable group of (about 30,000 available) male employees aged 45–51, the Czech data imply a college/high school wage gap of 0.60, much higher than most EU figures and even somewhat above the high level of Portugal. In particular, the Czech gap is about 50% higher than those of both Germany and Austria. Given that the gap is even higher for Czech workers aged 31–44, we therefore conclude that the returns to college degrees on the Czech labour market are extremely high in the West European context.
4.2 Mincerian Returns to Education

In this section, we estimate extended Mincerian log-wage regressions. First, we condition on education together with worker potential experience and its square. Second, we additionally control for an extended set of firm characteristics, including region of location, two-digit industry, ownership type and a quadratic in firm size. The purpose of this exercise is twofold: (i) to estimate the widely used and comparable return to an additional year of schooling, often referred to as the “benefits of education”, and (ii) to check for the sensitivity of the benefits of education to the potential education-related differences in worker employment patterns across firm types.

Table 3 reports these results, namely the coefficients on education variables in log-wage regression equations. The top panel of the table reports the returns to an additional year of schooling based on years of schooling data imputed from the education degree using typical years of study. These estimates are subject to measurement error to the extent that students switch programmes, repeat years of study or, alternatively, take unusually few years to complete a given degree. Münich et al. (2005) are able to compare estimates based on imputed years of schooling to those calculated off reported years in school. They find that the imputation-based Czech returns to education in 1996 are 0.8 percentage points higher than the correct estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>15–61</td>
<td>15–61</td>
<td>15–61</td>
<td>15–61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of schooling</td>
<td>0.111 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.089 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.103 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.077 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returns relative to secondary education with GCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>–0.407 (0.017)</td>
<td>–0.393 (0.014)</td>
<td>–0.378 (0.013)</td>
<td>–0.351 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>–0.302 (0.010)</td>
<td>–0.357 (0.012)</td>
<td>–0.263 (0.007)</td>
<td>–0.284 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>0.500 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.498 (0.026)</td>
<td>0.494 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.454 (0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience and its square</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm controls</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All OLS coefficient estimates are highly statistically significant based on standard errors (in parentheses) allowing for clustering of unobservables within firms. Firm control variables are total employment and its square, industry, ownership and regional dummies.

---

16 Potential experience equals age minus 6 minus imputed years of schooling. For women, this measure overestimates the actual years of experience depending on the number of children and length of maternity leaves.

17 Technically, they represent only the private economic benefits to education, while private returns would also reflect the private costs of education. Social returns to education would then incorporate various education externalities.

18 The reported standard errors are robust to unconditional heteroscedasticity as well as to interdependence of error terms across workers of the same firm. See (Jurajda, 2003) for details.

19 These estimates are subject to measurement error to the extent that students switch programmes, repeat years of study or, alternatively, take unusually few years to complete a given degree. Münich et al. (2005) are able to compare estimates based on imputed years of schooling to those calculated off reported years in school. They find that the imputation-based Czech returns to education in 1996 are 0.8 percentage points higher than the correct estimates.
The bottom panel shows the results of a separate estimation conditioning on a set of dummy variables for the highest degree obtained, with secondary education with GCE serving as the reference group.

The first two columns of Table 3 show parameter estimates from regressions where the only additional control variable is worker experience and its square. The results imply that wages of male (female) employees in the Czech Republic increase by about 11% (9%) with each additional year of schooling. Comparing these estimates to those in the last two columns of Table 3, where we additionally control for many firm characteristics, suggests that these returns to schooling are not very sensitive to conditioning on employment patterns. Put differently, workers with relatively many years of schooling are only somewhat more likely to work in firms, industries and regions where wages are higher for all types of workers.

Examining the impact of specific degrees in the bottom panel of Table 3, it is clear that educational structure is a major determinant of wages even after controlling for other explanatory characteristics. Education degrees alone explain over 30% of the variation in raw wages. (This corresponds to R2 from a separate unreported regression conditioning only on education degrees.) Additionally controlling for all other available explanatory characteristics (worker experience, region of employment, firm size, industry and ownership type) raises the share of the explained variation from 30% to 44% for both genders. The estimated education-degrees coefficients do not move by more than 4 percentage points when firm characteristics are accounted for, with the exception of the female dummy for apprenticeship degree. The benefits of specific degrees are also quite similar across gender, even after conditioning on other explanatory variables.

Next, Table 4 lists the estimated returns to education for each age group, combining male and female workers and conditioning on the extended set

---

**TABLE 4  Estimated Mincerian Returns to Education by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Both 24–30</th>
<th>Both 31–37</th>
<th>Both 38–44</th>
<th>Both 45–51</th>
<th>Both 52–61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years of Schooling</strong></td>
<td>0.090 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.105 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.100 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.087 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.087 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Returns relative to secondary education with GCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>–0.316 (0.020)</td>
<td>–0.362 (0.022)</td>
<td>–0.395 (0.016)</td>
<td>–0.405 (0.012)</td>
<td>–0.407 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship, no GCE</td>
<td>–0.210 (0.011)</td>
<td>–0.243 (0.009)</td>
<td>–0.282 (0.010)</td>
<td>–0.315 (0.009)</td>
<td>–0.343 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>0.448 (0.026)</td>
<td>0.535 (0.027)</td>
<td>0.511 (0.025)</td>
<td>0.468 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.431 (0.021)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All firm and worker control variables are included. See Table 3 for more notes.

---

20 The estimated returns are not overly sensitive to the weighting scheme either.
of regressors. The returns to years of schooling vary relatively little over age categories. The age trends in the estimated conditional returns to specific degrees (as compared to secondary education) are similar to those in the unconditional gaps in Table 2. Note that after imposing the same returns to experience for workers of all types of education, the returns to college are relatively higher for the young age group in Table 3.

Finally, we compare simple specifications of the returns to education degrees across 1998, 2000 and 2002. The structure and size of the 2000 data are similar to that of the 2002 sample. The 1998 data are described in (Jurajda, 2003); in comparison with the most recent data, the enterprise sector sample from 1998 contains only about one-half of the 2002 firms and is less representative of the entire economy.

The results presented in Table 5 imply that the education-related wage differentials were constant over the 2000–2002 period. The 1998–2002 comparison suggests a large increase in the college/high school wage gap as well as a reduction in the penalty for not having any secondary education. However, given the low comparability of the data over time, we hesitate to draw strong conclusions.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, Czech returns to years of schooling and to specific education degrees are estimated using 2002 data on hourly wages of salaried employees. Education is clearly the dominant observable wage determinant. Four simple education degrees alone explain about 30% of the total wage variation, while all other explanatory variables (worker experience and region of employment, firm size, industry and ownership type) increase the share of explained variation from 30% to 43%.

The estimated return to education is close to 10%, which is relatively high. Furthermore, the college/high school wage gap is much higher than those found in the EU economies. In particular, it is about 50% higher than comparable gaps in Austria or Germany, both of which have relatively similar educational systems and enrolment patterns. There is also some evidence that the gap increased between 1998 and 2002. These findings are
consistent with the interpretation that the continuing dramatic oversubscription of Czech public colleges is due to insufficient supply (lack of funding) and not to low cost (tuition-free). The short supply of college education apparently “bites” on the Czech labour market.

Earlier estimates of returns to education (Filer et al., 1999) based on mid-transition data already implied that the Czech returns to education have increased to a high level relative to the level of development (Psacharopoulos, 1994). One explanation for this fact is that one year of communist schooling supplies relatively less human capital. However, the analysis presented in this paper shows that returns are similarly high even for workers who were 11 to 17 at the time of the breakdown of communism. These findings are consistent with the presence of high demand for educated workers, driven perhaps by skill-biased technological change (Katz – Author, 1999), combined with the traditionally limited supply of tertiary education.21

The economic costs of having relatively few college-educated workers are potentially large and diverse. Today, the country is less likely to attract high-value-added foreign direct investment that requires an abundant college-educated labour force. Tomorrow, the gains from technological innovations will be smaller. Finally, EU accession will open EU universities to Czech students on an equal-access basis. Those who are unable to get enrolled in local tertiary education are likely to do so abroad. To the extent that these future EU-based students will be unlikely to return to the Czech Republic upon graduation, the insufficient supply of college education may result in a brain drain.

21 One would expect that in the long run a country’s technology reflects its relative endowment abundance, including the educational structure of the labour force. It would therefore be natural to expect the Czech economy to operate more vocational education-intensive blue-collar technology. Still, the emergence of IT and other “skill-based” technologies may reinforce the relative lack of a highly educated labour force on the Czech labour market.
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Czech returns to education are estimated using 2002 data on hourly wages of salaried employees. The wage return on an additional year of schooling is close to 10 percent, which is relatively high given the level of economic development and education level in the Czech Republic. Particularly large is the post-secondary/secondary-school wage gap, which appears higher than in Germany or Austria, two countries with a similar educational structure. This is likely due to the short supply of tertiary education provided by funds-starved Czech public post-secondary institutions.